
Review by Michael Wolfe, St. John's University.

For the past forty plus years, Richard Cooper has conducted deeply interesting, meditative probes into the complex literary and cultural relations that developed between learned circles in Renaissance France and Italy. His investigations, transnational avant la lettre, have always yielded important insights into the careers and literary legacies of major figures such as François Rabelais, Jean du Bellay, and Marguerite de Valois, among others, in meticulous editions of key works. For a long time, he has joined his interest in such textual excavations with the study of the material manifestations of these cultural relationships as found in coins, antique statuary fragments, and the paraphernalia of ceremonial entries. His new book on the emerging French mania for Roman antiquities among figures at the French court and in provincial towns in the first half of the sixteenth century joins these two domains together within the context of diplomacy and politics, long a characteristic of his approach. Yet where many scholars after such a distinguished career write big summative books to wrap things up, Cooper instead offers a sprawling, at times unfinished but always suggestive headlong dive into the very deep end of this pool, opening up rather than closing new lines of investigation that should keep him and the next generation of scholars busy for some time to come.

The book begins by exploring the growing awareness of classical antiquities in both France and Italy during the first three decades of the sixteenth century. Chapter one examines how, in the wake of Charles VIII’s invasion of Italy in 1494, direct experiences as well as travel accounts and guidebooks nourished a desire to collect ancient coins, inscriptions and sculpture, especially in southern French towns with Gallo-Roman origins and at the royal court. Decorative arts, architecture, and performances like royal entries all began to evince an all’antica flavor that only grew in intensity. Not to dispute the prominence that Cooper ascribes to early humanists in Lyon and Nîmes in promoting interest in the classical past, but it should be noted that equally strong, though differently inflected attractions and uses of antique literary motifs and architectural referents shaped the rich body of writings left after 1460 by courtly writers in northern France known as the rhétoriqueurs—a point Cooper only brings up fleetingly at the end of the book. The problem here is that the more one looks, the more it becomes evident that French interest in ancient Rome existed far back into the Middle Ages. Simply take the case of Julius Caesar. Even during the Middle Ages, numerous manuscript editions of his Commentaries—some complete, most abridged—existed in Latin. A French translation of 1213, *Li Fait des Romains*, was presented to Philip II, whose sobriquet “Auguste” of course invoked Rome’s first emperor and Caesar’s nephew. This highly popular work survives in some sixty identified manuscripts. Two new translations into French came about in the fifteenth century. One dated to 1473 was by Jean Duchesne, a writer and copyist who lived in Lille. Duchesne essentially just reworked the original *Li Fait des Romains* and offered flattering parallels to the achievements of his patron, Charles the Bold, Duke of Burgundy. Some twenty manuscript versions of the text exist, but it was never published.

This medieval interlude points to the essential change that Cooper does not fully identify, namely, that the longstanding literary interest in and use of classical antiquity in medieval and early Renaissance
France came also to include in the early sixteenth century a palpable desire to possess, indeed even inhabit, a revivified antique world, not simply meditate upon the faint glimmerings of it found on a manuscript leaf or early printed page. This dialectic between words and objects was to continue far after the sixteenth century and down to the present. In this sense, Cooper’s book forms an important chapter in a very long book. The next two portions of his study move decisively toward the new materiality of this relationship with the classical past. A primary (though hardly exclusive) conduit of antiquities came via French diplomats in Italy, chiefly Cardinals Jean du Bellay and Georges d’Armagnac, who bought, had restored, and then shipped back to France crate upon crate of objects for the king, their own collections or those assembled by other aristocratic connoisseurs. The advice of humanist scholars helped with the selection and appraisal process; indeed, it seems clear from this telling that was mainly why their patrons kept them around. Chapter three concentrates on Du Bellay’s unusual decision in the 1550s to build a villa and sumptuous gardens on the grounds of the Baths of Diocletian in Rome which he stuffed with antiques until their dispersal upon his death in 1560. Especially fascinating and worthy of much further study is the shady traffic (familiar still today) in these antiquities which involved greasing palms to obtain export licenses or even smuggling objects out of Italy, often on perilous sea voyages. The use of these objects as gifts and then eventually commodities in a burgeoning antiques market bears more investigation, too. Also intriguing was the practice of “restoring” damaged stone inscriptions or statuary, adding words or body parts to the fragmentary remains unearthed in digs in and around Rome and elsewhere. These practices remind us that the encounter with the Roman classical past was as much an exercise in imagination as anything else.

In chapter four, the discussion moves to France to examine the taste for the antique from the point of view of collectors at the courts of François I and Henri II. The kings hired French artists like Primaticcio and Sebastiano Serlio to redesign places, especially Fontainebleau, to display copies of classical sculpture or originals procured in Italy. Their goal was nothing less than to rebuild Rome in France. Grandees at court, such as the Guise, soon followed suit. Printed etchings in fine bound editions served as another way to possess ancient objects, if only as simulacra, and also depict them in fantastical, ruined settings. Here Cooper focuses on the roles of provincial humanists, like the bibliophile Jean Grolier from Lyon, the leading center of antiquarian taste making in France until mid-century. In the next chapter, Cooper looks at visits to Italy by major French artists and architects such as Philibert de l’Orme and Jean Bullant, at their sketchbooks, and at antiquarian influences in their art. Of special importance were the numerous collections of engravings of Roman art and architecture published by Antoine Lafréry which served as pattern books back in France. In these, the ongoing interplay between fantasy and reality continued to animate visions of the ancient past and attempts to recreate it or meditate upon its shambles. Chapter six takes up the emergence of “antiquarian art” as classical motifs began to inflect the decorative style of the emerging school of Fontainebleau as seen in the classicized landscapes strewn with picturesque ruins by artists such as Antoine Caron. Allegorical meanings infused these often enigmatic qualities of scenes evoking the ancient world, prompting moral reflection on the vanity of worldly ambitions and possessions. The irony here, though unstated by Cooper, comes in the ensuing chapter’s focus on Renaissance royal entries which combined traditional ritual with ceremonial elements of the Roman triumph. These performances, as can best be gathered from the albums created by provincial humanists like Jean de Vauzelles, provided the crown and towns a chance to broadcast their splendors in elaborate and evermore ostentatious spectacles celebrating earthly power.

The final two chapters of the book return to where Cooper’s career began, namely Renaissance fiction and poetry, and delve into how the desire to possess and envision the ancient past shaped more complicated textual expressions. Cooper discerns, as other scholars long have, the deep ambivalence about antiquarianism from satires by Erasmus to spirited defenses by the aptly named Julius Caesar Scalinger. These debates intersected with religious controversies of the time in the anti-Romanism of Protestantism or later invocations of an original pristine Gallic heritage that survived the original Roman conquest. Admiration of the pagan past mixed with misgivings about its idolatrous character,
while patriots decried the unbridled desire for Roman antiquities as positively unFrench. Local historians such as Jean Poldo d’Albenas of Nîmes helped through their research and writing to foster this emerging proto-national discourse.[10] Illustrations and ancient topographies, along with sham archaeologies and outright fabrications by Annio da Viterbo, among others, shaped the retelling of legends, histories, and the creation of new stories by writers such as François Rabelais, whose own first-hand knowledge of Rome was quite considerable as seen in his edition of Bartolomeo Marliani’s *Topographia antiquae Romae* (1534). Poetry also found inspiration in ancient objects, often when cunningly displayed in châteaux or gardens. The theme of ruin frequently ran through this verse too, nowhere more so than in the works of Joachim du Bellay. Cooper describes the poet’s attitude toward the past as “complex,” which could really be said just about everyone whom he discusses in his book. Du Bellay’s preoccupation with ruinscapes vouchsafed a deeper anguish about the transience of worldly things and a nostalgic longing for an irrecoverable past. In this respect, we arrive at the cusp of modern historical consciousness.[11]

In many ways, Cooper’s winding “antiques roadshow” through Renaissance France nicely pairs with Margaret McGowan’s equally interesting book published some fourteen years ago.[12] No elegant set of arguments or tidy synthetic reinterpretation closes his excursion, however, for which this reviewer is most grateful. Instead, Cooper promises and we can only eagerly await his own companion study as he draws upon—as will his motivated readers—the great horde of baubles, gewgaws, and outright treasures of this richly stocked *cabinet des curiosités* assembled in a lifetime of vital scholarship.
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