In a collection of essays that ranges from the Estates General to the present, *L'Incident électoral de la Révolution française à la Ve République* examines the development of French political culture through the lens of fraud, corruption, bribery, intimidation, and violence in the often-volatile environment of elections. The volume resulted from a colloquium organized by the Centre d'Histoire "Espaces et Cultures" at the Université Blaise Pascal and offers a timely contribution to the scholarship on political culture which has flourished since the commemorations of the bicentennial of the French Revolution in the 1980s. Although interest in the history of elections is not a recent development (Jean-René Suratteau's work on the elections of the Year IV dates back to the 1950s), the subject has acquired an acute relevance in the wake of the U.S. presidential elections in 2000 and the French presidential elections in 2002.\[1\] The contributors to this volume acknowledge the sense of "crisis" that has arisen from concerns about malfunctioning electoral processes, even as they re-evaluate the ideal of the smoothly functioning election as the measure of a mature democracy or a sign that democracy has been attained once and for all.

The political theory of Rousseau and the revolutionary ferment of 1789-1799 bequeathed a vision of democratic citizenship that delegitimized the influence of private interests or powerful factions over political matters, even stigmatized it as deviant. Political consensus was to be achieved by the unhindered, independent expression of each citizen's will within a formally sanctioned and inviolate context. However, the fact that actual practice often failed to live up to this ideal is not construed as evidence of imperfect institutions or a calculated assault on democracy. Rather, a central theme is the difficulty in demarcating the boundary between public and private during elections, whether it be the subtle advocacy of political candidates in religious sermons or the nebulous distinction between perception and evidence where accusations of bribery and corruption were concerned. Indeed, by focusing on the more immediate, face-to-face political environment during elections (such as confrontations in the space reserved for polling or disturbances in the streets on or around election days), the authors underscore how greatly familiarity, personality, and coercion remained a part of the political experiences of French citizens.

Drawing upon an impressive array of police records, newspapers, and departmental and national archives, the essays confront the dynamics of elections in a diverse range of political contexts: restoration and empire, as well as republics. As such, they build upon much of the excellent scholarship that has been produced on the expansion of suffrage as part of the formation of republican citizens and the consolidation of certain norms of civic democracy, notably the work of Pierre Rosanvallon, Alain Garrigou, and Michel Offerlé.\[2\]
Organized in chronological fashion, this edited collection uses instances of violence and corruption in elections to highlight the degree to which democracy was an ongoing process of acculturation to institutions that were themselves far from static and unchanging. The contributions of Christophe Le Digol and Bernard Gainot bring into relief the lack of consensus over political institutions in the Revolutionary era and the difficulty this poses for any assessment of electoral processes that views private (or state) influence over voters as a sign of corruption. On the other hand, Malcolm Crook cautions against using plebiscites during the Napoleonic era as a gauge of popular support for the government, given the extent to which the number of votes was inflated to manufacture a specific outcome. However, efforts to manipulate electoral outcomes were just as readily turned against the government, as Christophe Voilliot reveals in his analysis of tactics that ultraroyalist electors used to oppose official candidates during the Restoration. All of these essays illustrate the ways in which the "apprenticeship" of French electoral procedure pre-dated the advent of universal suffrage.

As Patrick Lagoueyte so eloquently demonstrates in his essay, the apprenticeship continued in 1848 with elections that, organized in haste, were riddled with procedural irregularities: voting held in private residences or churches; allegations that municipal officials in charge of monitoring elections were themselves illiterate; and a lack of standardized ballots, which often made it difficult to determine exactly for whom a voter had intended to vote. Imperfect and inconsistent though the voting was during this turbulent "republican spring," Lagoueyte concludes that it nonetheless accustomed a broad segment of the population to the practices of electoral democracy. Eric Anceau's essay notes that although the electoral climate during the Second Empire lacked the turbulence of 1848, growing scrutiny and criticism by the republican political opposition of the abuses committed in support of official candidates continued the apprenticeship in electoral strategy.

Roughly a third of the volume is devoted to the Third Republic. From Christophe Coston's examination of elections in the Puy-de-Dôme to Jacqueline Lalouette's detailed analysis of the numerous invalidations that followed the 1877 elections and Jean El Gammal's study of debates over invalidations in the Chamber of Deputies, the act of levying accusations of fraud or corruption emerges not as a sign of failed democratic institutions so much as an integral part of the process of defining legitimate political practice. As such, these essays offer compelling insights about the extent to which the republican political framework emerged through clashes of personalities and factions at the local level, rather than being imposed from above. This is further demonstrated by Bertrand Joly's fascinating and persuasive dissection of the great republican 'bugbear', clerical interference in politics. Joly's essay not only demonstrates how blurred the line could be between prayers, sermons, and outright political advocacy, but more importantly it suggests that republican perceptions of (and anxieties about) clerical abuse of influence over voters are just as revealing as any evidence (or lack thereof) of deliberate circumvention of the regulations against church intervention in electoral matters.

The essays of Olivier Dard, Mathias Bernard, and Nathalie Dompnier illustrate that the twentieth century did not necessarily see the arrival of a mature electoral democracy, purified of procedural inconsistencies and electoral fraud. More realistically, as Dompnier so convincingly argues in her analysis of the stigma of corruption associated with elections in the DOM-TOMs, the equation of stable, modern civilization with a smoothly-functioning electoral system has depended in part on a cultural construction, one in which corruption is distanced from the metropole by ascribing it to the "savage", post-colonial "other" of the DOM-TOMs.

The relative absence of any analysis of gender or race/ethnicity is offset somewhat by the overview essays by Philippe Bourdin, Yves Déloye, and Jean-Claude Caron, although greater attention to the voices of those who advocated women's suffrage might have provided an even richer context in which to consider the struggles over the legitimation of certain electoral practices and delegitimation of others. Nonetheless, L'Incident électoral should be of interest to scholars of French political culture, legal history, and urban and rural sociology.
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